

## Year 10 Film Review

In this activity you will write a critical film review of at least 400-600 words on the film *Remember the Titans*. The review will be suitable for publication in a school newspaper, with a readership of Year 10 students and your teacher.

Before you begin writing you will work through some introductory activities to prepare you to write your review.

You will be assessed on how well you:

- express, develop and support your opinions about the film
- your ability to use a writing style suitable to the task and audience
- how well you organise your material
- your accuracy in spelling, punctuation, grammar, syntax, paragraphing.

## Planning your review

a) Record important details about the film your class has viewed such as: the title, director, genre, date of production, country of origin, and names of main actors.

Record notes on these questions:

- what is the director's main aim?
- what type of film is it supposed to be?
- who is the target audience?
- how is the film intended to make them feel or think?

**b)** Decide whether your review will be positive, negative, or mixed.

## Write your review

- **a)** Write a catchy title for your review.
- **b)** Write an introduction that grabs the reader's attention and clearly states:
  - the title of the film, director, principal actors
  - the genre and the director's intention
  - your opinion of the film.
- c) Write a short paragraph giving an entertaining overview of the film.
- d) Write one paragraph for each aspect that you have chosen to evaluate.
- e) Write a concluding paragraph that restates your opinion of the film and ends your review strongly.

For this review you may use any of the notes or handouts from our viewing of the film and any notes you have taken.

This writing will be completed in class over the next four periods. Your draft and final copy must be published on your English blog.

Below the level		5B		5P			5A 6		В	6P		6A	
The response may be characterised by:	Th	The response:					The response:			The response:			
<ul> <li>no understanding shown of the key concepts in the question's key wor</li> </ul>	s	<ul> <li>has some relevance to the question being addressed</li> </ul>					<ul> <li>makes clear, informed points that are relevant to the question being addressed</li> </ul>			<ul> <li>makes confident points that show some insight or originality in thought or</li> </ul>			
• plot summary							<ul> <li>connects the majority of these points to</li> </ul>			interpretation			
rote-learned response							each other						
<ul> <li>personal commentary unrelated to the question</li> </ul>							• develops points in detail.			<ul> <li>develops points that are sustained throughout and integrated</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>addressing only one part of the question</li> </ul>										throughout and	rintegra		
<ul> <li>generalised, simplistic, or irrelevan comments indicating a limited understanding</li> </ul>													
• poor organisation; structure and expression may hinder the candidate's ability to show <b>understanding</b> of the te	ap t. de	• is planned and organised – possibly using a formulaic approach (eg one point per paragraph) – in a way that demonstrates the candidate is addressing the question to show <b>understanding</b> of the text.				• is organised and developed; structure and expression are fluent, assisting the candidate in showing <b>convincing</b> <b>understanding</b> .			• is organised and develops a <b>perceptive</b> case or argument; structure and expression may show flair / originality, enhancing the discussion.				
*a lack of sufficient supporting evidence		<ul> <li>includes reference to specific details, with possible</li> </ul>					<ul> <li>includes clear, relevant details,</li> </ul>			<ul> <li>includes clear, relevant details</li> </ul>			
*details from the text that are not adapted to the task		<ul> <li>Includes reference to specific details, with possible use of quotations, to support points and show understanding.</li> </ul>					usually using quotations, integrated into the answer.			integrated into the answer; quotations may be skilfully "woven" into the points made.			
*no reference to text specifics / techniques used													
*an essay likely to be shorter than 200 words, which may include insufficient detail to show understanding.		*may exceed recommended minimum 200 words.				vords. *may exceed recommended minimum words.			mum 200	n 200 *may exceed recommended minimum 200 words.			
		5B	5P		5A		6B	\$		6P	6A		
Insufficient understanding is character by:	ised	Understanding is by:	erised	Convincing unde	erstandii	ding is characterised by: Percept		Perceptiv	ive understanding is characterised by:				
<ul> <li>a single point relevant to the <u>specified</u> <u>aspects</u> listed below.</li> <li>points relevant to the <u>specified aspects</u> listed below that only pertain to one part the question.</li> </ul>		s         relevant to the specified         clearly relevant to the specified         t           of         aspects listed         aspects listed         t		• some discuss the <u>specified aspec</u> listed below that be to relate them to t director's purpose	<u>ects</u> begins he	specified asp listed below that relates t	discussion of the <u>specified aspects</u> sted below hat relates them to he director's purpose.		• <b>discussion</b> of the <u>specified aspects</u> listed below that relates them to the director's purpose		• <b>discussion</b> of the <u>specified aspects</u> listed below that relates them to the director's purpose		
								<b>some appreciation</b> of the significance / importance to the text as a whole of aspect(s) described.		mature appreciation of the significance / importance to the text as a whole of aspect(s) described.			
										going beyond give personal	includ societ	g beyond the text to le reference to wider iy / the candidate's nal understandings.	